APPENDIX EMERGING THERAPIES

4 a. Blue light therapy

1

2

3

Outdoor light is typically towards the blue end of the spectrum and there is some evidence that blue
light can make axial length shorter and the choroid thicker [1] [2] both of which are thought to be
helpful to retarding myopic progression. Clinical trials are now underway to test the longer-term
effects of blue-light therapy, using a smartphone inserted into a virtual reality headset (REF:
MyopiaX, Dopavision: NCT04967287)

- Exposure to ambient light is recognized for its protective effect against the development and progression of myopia. Studies suggest a positive dose-response relationship between the amount of time spent outdoors and the prevention of myopia. Blue light is a part of the visible light spectrum with shorter wavelengths. It is not only emitted by natural sunlight but artificial sources like digital
- screens (smartphones, computers, tablets). Blue light is commonly associated with digital eye strain,
 but it is not directly known to cause myopia. Blue light exposure inhibited the effect of hyperopic
- 16 defocus and resulted in a reduction in axial length in both defocused eyes and non-defocused eyes in 17 an experimental study from India [3]. The short-term exposure to blue light resulted in a significant
- 18 reduction in axial length and the authors postulated non-chromatic mechanisms such as blue cone-
- mediated ON-pathway, reduced levels of retinoic acid, role of intrinsically photosensitive retinal
 ganglion cells (ipRGCs), in-focus/out-of-focus image, and increased depth of focus due to decreased
- 21 pupillary size to be responsible [4] [5].
- Keeping in mind the photosensitive retinal ganglion cells, the blind spots of both eyes of 10 emmetropes and 10 myopes, were stimulated locally for 1-minute with blue flickering light with a 460 nm peak wavelength in a study from Australia^{Error! Bookmark not defined.} Significant choroidal thickening after blue light stimulation occurred in emmetropes but not in myopes as compared to sham and red-light exposure. Hence blue light exposure may have a significant impact on eye growth. However, the impact of artificial blue light on myopia development remains unclear.
- 28 29

30 b. Emerging spectacle lens technologies

31

32 i.Technologies of Competing Defocus

Since ophthalmic lenses seem to be the least invasive option for myopic progression, another spectacle 33 lens was introduced by ZEISS. ZEISS MyoCare technology integrates two advanced lens concepts to 34 slow myopic progression and optimize visual performance actively. The lens design incorporates a 35 central zone for vision correction. Surrounding this central zone is a treatment zone, that includes 36 37 Cylindrical Annular Refractive Elements, (C.A.R.E). These elements have relatively more positive power compared to the base surface power, are arranged in concentric rings, and are alternated 38 between the clear zones to enhance the performance of the lens. Due to the distinct geometry of the 39 cylindrical elements, light passing through the C.A.R.E. elements do not refract to a single point. 40 41 Instead, it creates a blended distribution of myopic defocus in front of the retina. Furthermore, ZEISS 42 MyoCare technology features a non-spherical back surface design that incorporates a point-by-point optimization and referred to as ZEISS ClearFocus design. In contrast to a traditional single vision 43

spectacle lens where myopic eyes experience hyperopic defocus due to lens aberrations especially
towards the lens periphery, the ClearFocus design of the ZEISS MyoCare lens aims to minimize these
aberrations and allow for visual clarity and myopic defocus across all gaze directions.

47 Whilst it is crucial to provide a sizeable treatment zone to ensure that competing myopic defocus is present across a substantial region of the retina, the size of the central zone is significant for visual 48 49 performance. Additionally, the strength or the dioptric power of the cylindrical annual refractive elements as well as the proportion of the surface area occupied by these elements are also important 50 for myopia management. Following wearability and visual performance assessments, two design 51 variants ZEISS MyoCare and MyoCare S were selected for efficacy evaluations. ZEISS MyoCare 52 incorporates a 7 mm central zone, while the softer ZEISS MyoCare S incorporates a 9 mm central 53 zone. Furthermore, the nominal power of the cylindrical annual refractive elements for ZEISS 54 55 MyoCare is +9.2D that translates to a mean relative surface power of +4.6D, whereas with ZEISS 56 MyoCare S, the nominal power is +7.6D and translates to a mean relative surface power of +3.8D.

In both Asia and Europe, multiple trials are currently in progress to assess the safety, efficacy, and 57 subjective performance of ZEISS MyoCare technology through both single-centre and multi-centre 58 randomised clinical trials. In China, approximately 1400 children are enrolled in these trials [6] and 59 in Europe, a multi-centre clinical trial with 300 participants is ongoing [7]. Based on interim data 60 from a prospective clinical trial at Wenzhou University Eve Hospital involving 240 children 61 randomized to ZEISS MyoCare, MyoCare S or single vision spectacles, all children reported 62 63 adaptation to their lenses within a day. This was regardless of whether they wore test or control lenses. At 3 months of lens wear (first visit after dispensing), 97.5% or more of children wearing MyoCare 64 65 or MyoCare S reported their vision for distance, near, during sporting activities, perception of moving objects and going up and down stairs to be very good (on a scale of 1-4 where 1 = very poor and 4 =66 very good). Additionally, an interim analysis was conducted on the 12-month efficacy of these lenses. 67 The performance was benchmarked against the eye growth of an emmetropic eye using the 68 "emmetropic progression ratio". With this metric, a value of 100% indicates eye growth like that of 69 an emmetropic eye, while 0% indicates eye growth like that of a myopic eye wearing single vision 70 71 spectacle lens. Both ZEISS MyoCare and MyoCare S slowed eye growth across all ages assessed. In younger children aged 7 to 9 years, ZEISS MyoCare demonstrated an emmetropic progression ratio 72 73 of 63% and in older children aged 10 to 12 years ZEISS MyoCare S demonstrated an emmetropic 74 progression ratio of 86%.

75

**The results published in the article by Liu et al [8] titled "One-year myopia control efficacy of
cylindrical annular refractive element spectacle lenses" and published in Acta Ophthalmologica,
101(6), 2023 do not refer to or reflect the performance of ZEISS MyoCare or MyoCare S. The design
used in the published article refers to an earlier prototype that served as a starting point for
optimization [9].

81

82 ii.Shamir myopia control lenses (Focus flow technology) FocusflowTM

Shamir myopia control lens implements the defocus in a unique back surface design. Rather than a concentric design, the defocus is in a U-shape, creating a clear central vertical canal and a continuous defocus toward the periphery. The vertical canal is symmetrically located around the center point in the horizontal meridian, with a width of 10 mm. In the vertical meridian, the canal extends up to the lens periphery, while it measures 10 mm inferiorly. The relative positive power gradually increases from approximately 0.5 D at the canal's edge to 3.00 D at 17.5 mm from the center horizontally and

1.50 D at 16 mm from the center in the inferior meridian. Depending on the frame size, the relative 89 90 positive power at the inferior rim ranges from 1.00 to 1.50D. Implementing a gradual defocus in the 91 lens aims at inhibiting axial elongation. Additionally, a central vertical canal provides the patient's optimal prescription and is kept distortion-free. A controlled randomized, double- masked trial was 92 conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the Shamir myopia control spectacle lens vs the control 93 94 group wearing a standard single-vision lens (Shamir Aspheric Ophthalmic Lenses (Optimee[©]) for Myopic Control Clinical Trial) [10]. The trial included 126 participants, aged between 6 and 13 years, 95 with cycloplegic objective spherical equivalent refractive error ranging from -0.50 D to -6.00 D in at 96 least 1 eye, and astigmatism not exceeding -1.50 D. At 12 months, the adjusted mean progression in 97 axial length and Spherical equivalent were 0.32 mm and 0.64 D in the control group and 0.21 mm 98 and 0.48 D in the Shamir lens group, respectively. A statistically significant (p < .05) effect was found 99 100 in axial length adjusted mean progression but not in spherical equivalent adjusted mean progression, 101 however in children aged 6-10 years and in children with two myopic parents, more statistically significant differences were obtained. Overall, the lenses slowed axial progression by 35% and 102 spherical error progression of 25% as compared to the control group. The technology has some 103 ergonomic advantages minimizing stress on the child's head, neck, and upper body muscles. 104

- 105 c. Emerging Contact Lens Technology
- 106

107 i.Concentric annular zones with noncoaxial relative plus power (RingBoostTM technology)

108

In contrast to the typical coaxial dual-focus or multifocal soft contact lens designs, the non-coaxial 109 110 ring focus contact lens generates a ring focus that falls in front of the retina but off the line of sight, enabling a larger treatment zone and the incorporation of a higher add power while maintaining 111 comparable visual performance. A multisite randomised clinical trial compared 2 prototypes of 112 RingBoost[™] technology with dual focus contact lens and single vision contact lens. Both prototypes 113 consist of two concentric, annular treatment zones of +7.00 D non-coaxial plus power with the EE (114 enhance efficiency) design having the plus power positioned closer to centration and featuring an 115 additional +10 D coaxial treatment zone for greater efficacy without compromising visual acuity. At 116 6 months, the EE lens (commercialised as Johnson and Johnson Vision ACUVUE AbilitiTM) 117 118 produced the most significant effect with a mean difference in axial length elongation being 0.11mm

- 119 compared with the control [11].
- 120
- 121

122 Bibliography

123

 Thakur S, Dhakal R, Verkicharla PK. Short-Term Exposure to Blue Light Shows an Inhibitory Effect on Axial Elongation in Human Eyes Independent of Defocus. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2021 Dec 1;62(15):22. doi: 10.1167/iovs.62.15.22. PMID: 34935883.

- [2] Read SA, Pieterse EC, Alonso-Caneiro D, Bormann R, Hong S, Lo CH, Richer R, Syed A, Tran L. Daily morning light therapy is associated with an increase in choroidal thickness in healthy young adults. Sci Rep. 2018 May 29;8(1):8200.
- [3] Thakur S, Dhakal R, Verkicharla PK. Short-Term Exposure to Blue Light Shows an Inhibitory Effect on Axial Elongation in Human Eyes Independent of Defocus. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2021 Dec 1;62(15):22.
- [4] Hoseini-Yazdi H, Read SA, Collins MJ, Bahmani H, Ellrich J, Schilling T. Increase in choroidal thickness after blue light stimulation of the blind spot in young adults. Bioelectron Med. 2024;10(1):13.
- [5] Amorim-de-Sousa A, Chakraborty R, Collins MJ, et al. Blue light stimulation of the blind spot in human: from melanopsin to clinically relevant biomarkers of myopia. Bioelectron Med. 2024;10(1):26. Published 2024 Nov 4.
- [6] Alvarez-Peregrina C, Sanchez-Tena MA, Martinez-Perez C, Villa-Collar C; Clinical Evaluation of MyoCare in Europe –the CEME Study Group; Ohlendorf A. Clinical Evaluation of MyoCare in Europe (CEME): study protocol for a prospective, multicenter, randomized.
- [7] Dirani M, Tong L, Gazzard G, Zhang X, Chia A, Young TL, et al. Outdoor activity and myopia in Singapore teenage children. British j ophthalmol. 2009;93(8):997–1000.
- [8] c Lin Z, Vasudevan B, Jhanji V, Mao GY, Gao TY, Wang FH, et al. Near work, outdoor activity, and their association with refractive error. Optom Vis Sci. 2014;91(4):376–82.
- [9] Liu X, Wang P, Xie Z, Sun M, Chen M, Wang J, Huang J, Chen S, Chen Z, Wang Y, Li Y, Qu J, Mao X. One-year myopia control efficacy of cylindrical annular refractive element spectacle lenses. Acta Ophthalmol. 2023 Sep;101(6):651-657.
- [10] Yuval C, Otzem C, Laura BS, Shirel R, Dana GN, Atalia W, Noam B, Nir E, Yair M. Evaluating the Effect of a Myopia Control Spectacle Lens Among Children in Israel: 12-Month Results. Am J Ophthalmol. 2024 Jan;257:103-112.
- [11] Cheng X, Xu J, Brennan NA. Randomized Trial of Soft Contact Lenses with Novel Ring Focus for Controlling Myopia Progression. Ophthalmol Sci. 2022 Oct 18;3(1):100232.

124

125